ISSN 1818-6238

# Monetary Policy and Macro-Economy: An Empirical Study Based on Economic Statistics

## Dewan Golam Yazdani Showrav<sup>1</sup> Mostofa Shamim Ferdous<sup>2</sup>

Abstract: Like other central banks, Bangladesh Bank adopts monetary policy for controlling the supply of money as an instrument to accomplish the goals of general economic policy. The long term objective of monetary policy in Bangladesh is to ensure sustainable economic growth. The short term objectives are generally determined after a careful and pragmatic evaluation of the current economic condition of the country. This research article focuses on the association between monetary policy and GDP. Along with similar studies by various researchers, the ARDL Model analysis has been applied to test the hypothesis. Economic statistics of past 35 years of Bangladesh have been used to reach to the conclusion. The study shows significant relationship between interest rate and GDP in the long run. And the study also shows significant relationship between Money Supply (M2) and GDP in the long run but it shows insignificant relationship in the short run between monetary policy and GDP. It must be noted that this study did not consider other tools of monetary policy which can alter the impact of monetary policy on GDP. The findings from this research encourage further sophisticated research considering other factors to have more transparent outcomes.

Keywords: Monetary policy, hypothesis, GDP, Money Supply, M2, macro-economic research.

#### Introduction

Monetary policy can be described as ways of managing the supply of money in an economy of a country. It is the process by which the central bank of a country regulates the supply of money by targeting a rate of interest for the purpose of improving economic growth and stability. Monetary policy relies on the relationship between the rates of interest at which money can be borrowed in an economy, and the total supply of money. Bangladesh economy is experiencing significant problems both from demand side and supply side. Developing countries always suffer from poverty, imperfection in both factor and product markets, continuous disequilibrium in the economy, poor administrative structure, inappropriate tax structure, deep dependence on external sector, lack of capital stock, and substantial unemployment. Bangladesh is not only administratively disorganized but also underdeveloped in the transport, telecommunication, and energy sectors. Massive amount of unemployment, low standard of living, low level of saving, excess unskilled labor, severe balance of trade deficit and low growth rates are always

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lecturer, Faculty of Business and Economics, Daffodil International University, Contact: showrav\_21@yahoo.com, <u>dewan.bba@diu.edu.bd</u>, Cell: 01671080089

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Independent Researcher, Contact: <u>shamim\_active@yahoo.com</u>, Cell: 01864234284

Monetary Policy and Macro-Economy: An Empirical Study Based on Economic Statistics .....

being faced by the economy. Moreover, political instability causes serious problem for the economy.

Apart from these, both the agricultural and the industrial sectors have not developed their full potential yet. Large portion of public and private investment sectors are unutilized. The economy is cursed by the amount of classified debts each year. Monetary and fiscal policy of the country is also not properly coordinated and well managed.

As the banking sector always have to face the wave of any sort of economic disorder in the macro-economy, the central bank needs to be very cautious for the proper implementation of the monetary policy to navigate the economy for a long term growth

## **Objectives of the Study**

Central bank, the highest authority of making such kind of decisions relevant to monetary policy, employs the policy to control the macro-economy. The purpose of this study is to find out the association of four tools of monetary policy (Interest Rate, Real Effective Exchange Rate and M2) on the GDP growth of Bangladesh. Apart from Inflation, the other tools of monetary policy and economic factors were considered as not affecting the findings of this study.

#### **Literature Review**

Government policies, including monetary policy, affect the growth of domestic output to the extent that they affect the quantity and productivity of capital and labor. Monetary policy is only one element of overall macroeconomic policy, and can only affect the production process through its impact on interest rates. There are two main channels of monetary policy. One is through the effect that interest rate changes have on the exchange rate of a currency, and the other is through the effect that interest rate changes have on demand. Therefore monetary policy has an impact on economic activity and growth through the workings of foreign and domestic markets for goods and services (Boweni, 2000)

Although monetary policy is the principal stabilization tool for most economies used by an independent and credible central bank, still there are economists who see important stabilization role for fiscal policy working alongside monetary policy. Even there are economists who say, no matter how independent central bank is, the monetary policy may not be sufficient for determining the price level and there is role for fiscal policy (Hanif and Arby, 2003).

The instrument of monetary policy ought to be the short-term interest rate, that policy should be focused on the control of inflation, and that inflation can be reduced by increasing short-term interest rates (Alvarez, 2001).

The investigations into the existence and nature of the link between inflation and economic growth have experienced a long history. Originating in the Latin American context in the 1950s, the issue has generated an enduring debate between structuralists and monetarists. The structuralists believe that inflation is essential for economic growth

whereas the monetarists see inflation as detrimental to economic progress. There are two aspects to this debate: (a) the nature of the relationship if one exists and (b) the direction of causality (Mallik, 2001).

Although economists now widely accept that inflation has a negative effect on economic growth, researchers did not detect this affect in data from the 1950s and the 1960s (Min, 2005). A series of studies in the IMF Staff Papers around 1960 found no evidence of damage from inflation (Wai, 1959; Bhatia, 1960; Dorrance, 1963, 1966). Therefore, a popular view in the 1960s was that the effect of inflation on growth was not particularly important.

This view prevailed until the 1970s, when many countries, mainly in Latin Americans experienced hyperinflation. Numerous empirical studies were devoted to finding the effects of inflation in high-inflation countries. These studies repeatedly confirmed that inflation had a significant negative effect on economic growth, at least at sufficiently high levels of inflation. Therefore, today, the dominant view regarding the effects of inflation has changed dramatically. It has been found that in developing countries as the inflation rate exceeds a specified threshold, it affects the growth rate adversely (Min, 2005).

Monetary policy plays a key role in determining inflation rates. Various studies provide the empirical evidence on the relationship between inflation and growth. (Lucas, 1973) held that inflation in any economy induces uncertainty in economy and increased economic uncertainty negatively affects the output growth. Inflation overall effects the growth of the country, the financial sector development and the vulnerable poor segment of the population. There is clear consensus that even moderate level of inflation, damage real growth.

Kremer' et al. (2008) examined the impact of inflation on long-term economic growth for a panel of 63 industrial and non-industrial countries. Their results revealed that inflation obstructs growth if it exceeds thresholds of 2% for industrial and 12% for non-industrial countries. However below these thresholds, effect of inflation on growth remained significantly positive. Bruno and Easterly (1998) demonstrated that a number of economies have experienced sustained inflations of 20 percent to 30 percent without suffering any apparently major adverse consequences. However, once the rate of inflation exceeds some critical level (which Bruno and Easterly estimated to be about 40 percent). significant declines occur in the level of real activity. Barro (1995) very precisely examined the five-year average data of 100 countries over the period of 1960-90. His result shows that an increase in average inflation by 10 percentage points per year would slow the growth rate of the real per capita GDP by 0.2-0.3 percentage points per year. He argued that although the adverse influence of inflation on growth appeared small, the long term effects on standards of living were actually substantial. Nevertheless, some other empirical and theoretical studies argued that the inflation-growth relationship is fragile. Maghyereh (2003) also reported that the effect of inflation rate on the economic growth is strongly negative and statistically significant.

Mundell (1965) and Tobin (1965) predict a positive relationship between the rate of inflation and the rate of capital accumulation, which in turn, implies a positive

relationship to the rate of economic growth. They argue that since money and capital are substitutable, an increase in the rate of inflation increases capital accumulation by shifting portfolio from money to capital, and thereby, stimulating a higher rate of economic growth (Gregorio, 1996).

Ahmad and Mortaza (2005) evaluated the concept that moderate and stable inflation rates promote the development process of a country, and hence economic growth. Using annual data set on real GDP and CPI of Bangladesh for the period of 1980 to 2005, they demonstrate statistically significant long-run negative relationship between inflation and economic growth for the country as indicated by a statistically significant long-run negative relationship between CPI and real GDP. Also as a threshold they suggested 6% of inflation above which inflation adversely affects economic growth.

However, Johanson (1967) found no conclusive empirical evidence for either a positive or a negative association between the two variables. Therefore, a popular view in the 1960s was that the effect of inflation on growth was not particularly important. Also Fischer and Modigliani (1978) suggest a negative and nonlinear relationship between the rate of inflation and economic growth through the new growth theory mechanisms (Malla, 1997). They mention that inflation restricts economic growth largely by reducing the efficiency of investment rather than its level. Fisher (1993) also found negative associations between inflation and growth for a large set of countries.

Dewan and Hussein (2001) found in a sample of 41 middle-income developing countries including Fiji, that inflation was negatively correlated to growth. While examining relationship of inflation and growth in Fiji, Dewan (1999) found that changes in the difference between actual GDP and potential GDP (output gap) had a bearing on Fiji's inflation outcome.

Faria and Carneiro (2001) investigated the relationship between inflation and economic growth in the context of Brazil which has been experiencing persistent high inflation until recent. Analyzing a bivariate time series model with annual data for the period between 1980 and 1995, they found that although there exists a negative relationship between inflation and economic growth in the short-run, inflation does not affect economic growth in the long run.

Mallik (2001) examine the relationship between inflation and GDP growth for four South Asian countries i.e. Bangladesh, India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Their results provided the evidence of a long-run positive relationship between GDP growth rate and inflation for all four countries. They also concluded that moderate inflation is helpful to growth, but faster economic growth feeds back into inflation. Thus, these countries are on a knife-edge.

Kuttner and Mosser (2002) indicated that monetary policy affects the economy through several transmission mechanisms such as the interest rate channel, the exchange rate channel, Tobin's q theory, the wealth effect, the monetarist channel, and the credit channels including the bank lending channel and the balance-sheet channel. But mainly monetary policy plays its role in controlling inflation through money supply and interest

rate. Money Supply would affect real GDP positively because an increase in real quantity of money causes the nominal interest rate to decline and real output to rise (Hsing, 2005). Taylor (1995) emphasized the importance of the interest rate channel in this regard.

Hsing (2005) examined an annual sample during 1959-2001 to find possible relationships between real GDP for Venezuela and selected macroeconomic variables. According to his study more real money supply, more government deficit spending, real depreciation, a higher expected inflation rate, and higher world oil price would help raise real GDP in Venezuela.

Qayyum (2006) investigated the linkage between the excess money supply growth and inflation in macro-economy. Also he examined the similarity between inflation and monetary phenomenon. His results from the correlation analysis indicated that there is a positive association between money growth and inflation. The money supply growth at first-round affects real GDP growth and at the second round it affects inflation in macro-economy. The important finding from the analysis is that the excess money supply growth has been an important contributor to the rise in inflation in macro-economy during the study period. This supports the monetarist proposition that inflation in macro-economy is a monetary phenomenon.

Fry (1988) and Gleb (1989) find, from pooled cross-economy time series data, a consistently positive and significant relationship between economic growth and the real rate of interest. In order to separate the effects of inflation and real interest rates on growth, World Bank conducted a study. This study provides evidence from a sample of twenty countries, for the impact of the real interest rate and the inflation rate on the growth rate. The real interest rate has a statistically significant and positive impact on growth. But when inflation is included, the coefficient for the real interest rate is no longer statistically significant, while the negative coefficient on the rate of inflation is. This suggests that the positive relation between real rate of interest and growth was actually reflecting a negative relation between inflation and growth in financially repressed regimes, where nominal interest rates are kept fixed (World Bank 1993).

Relationship between inflation, interest rate, and growth has been the consideration of researchers since very long. An examination of this relationship in USA shows that the U.S. inflation of the 1970s and 80s can be fully accounted for by the corresponding increase in money supply growth rates, and the return to relatively low inflation rates in the 1990s can be explained by the correspondingly low average rate of money supply growth in that decade. Inflation in the 90s was about 3.5 percentage points lower than its average in the 70s and 80s, and the growth rate of money supply was about 5 percentage points lower (Alvarez, 2001).

All of the above discussion shows that there is a non-linear relationship between inflation and economic growth. However inflation does affect economic growth directly. Monetary Policy variables such as Money Supply and Interest rates with affect the economic growth & inflation in economy.

#### **Research Data and Methodology**

This study is on the effect of monetary policy on the economic growth of Bangladesh for the last 35 years. Major two tools: Interest Rate & Money Supply have been used to evaluate their impact on GDP growth. Secondary data were obtained from Bangladesh Bank's published and unpublished sources of various years. The data would be analyzed, interpreted and tested in order to facilitate a valued conclusion on the effect of interest rate fluctuation in Bangladesh.

Non-stationary is a very common property that is found in many macroeconomic and financial time series. It usually can incorporate the spurious correlation error into the econometric methodology. That is why; the differencing and logarithmic transformation are used to make the time series stationary and used for further processing too. Only for the purpose of modeling the long-run equilibrium which can be referred as a stationary linear combination of respective time series, the non-stationary data are utilized by the econometric researchers. Then, each & every deviation from the equilibrium is assumed to be amended or corrected in the next time period (Engle, Granger, 1987).

There are many econometric methods are available for investigating the long-run equilibrium co-integration approach with multiple time series variables. Examples of the boosting research of the late 20<sup>th</sup> century include the seminal works of Phillips and Hansen (1990), Engle and Granger (1987), and Johansen (1988) are some of the most prominent ones. In this present study, the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) modeling approach developed by Pesaran and Pesaran (1997), Pesaran and Smith (1998), and Pesaran et al. (2001) have been chosen. The reason behind the popularity of ARDL is because of multiple advantages in comparison with other single equation co-integration procedures. It is able to estimate the long and short-run parameters of the model simultaneously to avoid of the problems that are posed by non-stationary time series data. Moreover, among the five variables of this study, two are stationary at I (1) and three are stationary at I (0). In this type of scenario, ARDL model is used. If all the variables were stationary in I (0), then OLS method would be used. But it is really unusual and happens very hardly. If all the variables get stationary at I(1), the Johansen test is used to find out whether VECM or VAR would be used to investigate the long-term equilibrium of cointegration.

But before implementing the co-integration and ARDL model, econometric methodology needs to ensure that the data are stationary. To do that Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test (Dickey and Fuller, 1979) has been used to verify that whether the data series are stationary and to determine the order of integration of each of the data series studied. The conceptual model formulated for the study is given by:

MEG = f (INR, INF, MNS2, REER, U) ------(i)

Here,

INTR = Interest rate INF= Inflation Rate

MEG = Macro-economical Growth (Gross demotic product growth) MNS2= Money Supply (M2) REER = Real Effective Exchange Rate

After analyzing for the optimum number of lags, the following ADRL model has been found:

$$\Delta LGDP_{t} = \alpha_{1i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{1i} \Delta LGDP_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{1i} \Delta LINF_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{2i} \Delta LIR_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{3i} \Delta LM2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + u_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{2i} \Delta LM2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + u_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{2i} \Delta LM2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + u_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + u_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + u_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \mu_{1t} + \mu$$

In equation (2),

LGDP = LOG of GDP

LINF = LOG of Inflation

LIR = LOG of Interest Rate

 $LM2 = LOG \text{ of } M_2$ 

LREER= LOG of Real Effective Exchange Rate

 $\Delta$  = First Difference operator

 $\Delta$  LGDP,  $\Delta$  LINF,  $\Delta$ LIR,  $\Delta$ LM2,  $\Delta$  LREER are the differences in these variables that capture their short run disturbances.

ECT  $_{t-1}$  = the lagged error correction term, which captures the long run effects. It refers to the speed of adjustment or correction from the deviation of dependent variable that will adjust to minimize the long run equilibrium error.

 $\rho_1$  = the error correction coefficient

vit = pure white noise disturbance term

#### **Research Questions and Hypothesis**

The study is on the effect of monetary policy on the GDP of Bangladesh for the last 35 years. It has been tried to find out the effect of interest rate and money supply on the gross domestic product. Money supply, M2, has been taken to the study The hypotheses are the following:

H1: The Explanatory variables (Monetary Policy) has association with the GDP in the Long Run
H2: Inflation The Explanatory variables (Monetary Policy) has association with the GDP in the Short Run
H3: The Explanatory variables (Monetary Policy) is associated with the GDP significantly in the long run
H4: The Explanatory variables (Monetary Policy) is associated with the GDP significantly in the short run

## **Empirical Results and Findings**

The results of the descriptive statistics have been presented in Table 1. All the variables have positive mean. LOG of GDP, LOG of MONEY-2 SUPPLY & LOG of REAL

EXCHANGE RATE are positively skewed. On the other hand, LOG of INFLATION and LOG of INTEREST RATE are negatively skewed. Apart from LOG of M2, all the variables are relatively symmetric.

	LGDP	LINF	LIR	LM2	LREER
Mean	28.78985	1.889505	2.636851	27.14507	4.750428
Median	28.74438	1.952548	2.692717	27.00424	4.750442
Maximum	29.67812	2.677247	3.068977	29.76917	4.931158
Minimum	28.04153	0.696728	1.276433	24.40868	4.588238
Std. Dev.	0.486639	0.492620	0.347046	1.561714	0.091353
Skewness	0.232859	-0.898422	-2.013837	0.009814	0.183748
Kurtosis	1.861017	3.196306	8.313011	1.928313	2.332493
Jarque-Bera	2.208173	4.764647	64.82328	1.675478	0.846735
Probability	0.331514	0.092336	0.000000	0.432688	0.654838
Sum	1007.645	66.13269	92.28978	950.0775	166.2650
Sum Sq. Dev.	8.051794	8.250943	4.095000	82.92434	0.283742
Observations	35	35	35	35	35

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the variables of the study

The figure1 represents the variables graphically. By analyzing the graph, it seems that LGDP, LM2 & LREER have trends with time series. On the other hand, LIR & LINF are found to be a bit stationary. To clarify this issue, Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF test) has been used. The statistical results from the ADF test are on the Appendix-2. From the test, it is found that LIR and LREER are stationary in level (Integrated of Order Zero, I (0)). On the other hand, LGDP, LM2 & LINF are non-stationary in level (Integrated of Order Zero, I (0)) but stationary in first difference (Integrated of Order One, I (1)).

So from the result of ADF, it has been finalized that ARDL model will be perfect for this study. But before that optimum number of lags needs to be identified and to do so AIC and SC test have been used. The result is available in the Appendix 3. It proves that we could take any number of lags between 1 and 4.

In lag 4 and lag 3 the models are found to be highly auto-correlated. In the lag 2, the model has been found to be perfect in both auto-correlation test and Cusum test. But all the betas of the explanatory variables are found to 0 in the WALD test.





The null and Alternative hypothesis of the WALD test of ARDL model with lag 2 are the following:

H0: C(12)=C(13)=C(14)=C(15)=C(16)=0 H0: C (12) $\neq$ C(13)  $\neq$ C(14)  $\neq$ C(15)  $\neq$ C(16)  $\neq$ 0

The probability of the test has been more that 5%. So the Null hypothesis could not be rejected. That is why, the Lag1 ARDL model has been used to conduct the study. The result is in Appendix. The model has been:

Monetary Policy and Macro-Economy: An Empirical Study Based on Economic Statistics .....



Figure 2: Cusum test of the ARDL model

The model has been very fit. The check the reliability of the model cusum test has been used. The result shows that, the model is reliable.

In the lag 2, the ARDL model has been found to be perfect in both auto-correlation test and Cusum test. But all the betas of the explanatory variables are found to non-zero in the WALD test.

The null and Alternative hypothesis of the WALD test of ARDL model with lag 1 are the following:

H0: C(7)=C(8)=C(9)=C(10)=C(11)=0 H0: C (7) $\neq$ C(8)  $\neq$ C(9)  $\neq$ C(10)  $\neq$ C(11)  $\neq$ 0

The probability of the test has been less that 5%. So the Null hypothesis could be rejected. From the statistical result presented in Appendix 4, it can be predicted that all the explanatory variables under study have a long term association with the dependent variable GDP.

But in the short run the model has been following:

$$\Delta LGDP_{t} = \alpha_{1i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{1i} \Delta LGDP_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{1i} \Delta LINF_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{2i} \Delta LIR_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{2i} \Delta LM2_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{4i} \Delta LREER_{t-1} + \rho_{1} ECT_{t-1} + u_{1t} +$$

The outcome of the model is in the Appendix-5. The result shows that the explanatory variables are insignificantly associated with the dependent variable. And even after dropping the explanatory variable  $\Delta$ LGDP and  $\Delta$ LINF from the model, the remaining explanatory variables of the model are found to be associated with the dependent variable but the association is insignificant. All the result has been shown in the Appendix 5.

So from these results we could conclude that GDP is associated with the Inflation Rate, Interest Rate, M2 supply and Real Effective Exchange Rate in the Long-run significantly but the association is insignificant in the short run.

#### Conclusion

The main function of Central Bank is to manage the expansion and cost of money and credit. Other functions of central bank include conducting monetary policy, maintaining the stability of the financial system and performing banking supervision and regulation. Central bank does all these functions as means of achieving the predetermined goals. This study focused on the association of monetary policy on GDP of Bangladesh and attempted to discover the degree of association between monetary policy and economic growth. It is found that the supply of M2 has significant association on GDP in long run in Bangladesh. It can be concluded that in addition to money supply policy there are several other tools of monetary policy which can alter the assumptions found in this study. Therefore further study analyzing the impact of other tools of monetary policy such as reserve requirements, lending & deposit facilities etc can be conducted for more reliable findings. So finally we can conclude that, although monetary policy is one of the vital tools to impact on macro-economy, and according to this study we can ensure that it has significant association with the macro-economy in the long run.

Monetary Policy and Macro-Economy: An Empirical Study Based on Economic Statistics .....

# **Appendix**

Exogenous: Constant, Line	er Treed				Na Exc	ogenous: Constant, Lines	r Tread				
Lig Longin: V (Ainomatic	- Dased on SIC, man	mg sj			Lag	: Longth: 1 (Automatio	based on SIC, r	nariag 8)			
		t-S	tatistic	Prob.*	_				t-Stat	istic	Pro
Augmented Dickey Puller	test statistic	-3,0	063513	0.1310	Ац	gmented Dickey Puller t	est statistic		-6.98	978	0.00
Test critical values:	1% lovel	4.3	252879		Ter	t critical values:	1% level		-4.273	277	
	10% level	-3.3	207094				5% lovel		-3.557	1759 1261	
MacKinnon (1996) one s	ided n values				_				-3.61		
					Mi Anj	gmented Dickey Fuller 1	ea p-vuraea. 'est Equation				
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Dependent Variable: D/L	Test Equation				Dq	endent Variable: D(LIN	F,2)				
Method: Least Sources					Me	thod: Least Squares	-				
Date: 04/05/16 Time: 07:	54				Dia Ser	nele /edimeterily 1983 20	/ /4				
Sample (adjusted): 1981 2	014				ing	laded observations: 32 at	ter adjustments				
Included observations: 34	after adjustments				=						
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.	_	Variable	Coefficient	SHE. B	mor ta	Statistic	P
						D(LINF(-1))	1.741420	0.249	416 -6.	981978	0.
LINF(-1)	0.465083	0.151814	-3.063513	0.0045		D(LINF(-1),2)	0.490859	0.162	524 3.	020220	0.
C @TREND("1980")	0.003545	0.007621	2.01/384 0.465089	0.0136		C @TREND("1980")	-0.170448	0.170	ro⊿n -0. 1238 ∩	906834	0.
A				W1	_	Grand the h					ν.
R-squared	0.235061	fean dependent var		-0.011912	Rı	quared	0.686003	Mean dep	endent ver		0.00
Adjusted R squared	0.185710 8	D. dependent war		0.465238	Adj 	usted R squared	0.652361	S.D. deper	adent var		0.72
S.E. of regression	0.419621 /	knike info criterion	1	1.186122	8.8	. of regression	0.420521	Akatike mi	lo criterion.		1.25
Sum squared read	5.463/45 Z	cawarz criterion		1.320800	ou. Los	n squarea ressa Silvelikood	-16.00252	Hennen ()	aucoou mice criter		1.40.
R statistic	4 763048 T	antica Weters stat	•	1 991440		atistic	20 39096	Darbin-Wo	aison sint		2.20
Prob/F.statistic)	0.015711				Pm	b(P statistic)	0.000000				
<u>.42-2 ADF test of L11</u>	1				4	12- 3 ADF test of I	<u>M2</u>				
<b><u>A2- 2 ADF test of L1</u></b> Null Hypothesis: 1 Rxogenous: Coast	LIR has a unit root ant, Linear Trend				4	1 <u>2- 3 ADF test of I</u> Null Hypothesis: Bizogenous: Cons	<u>M2</u> LM2 has a unit 1 hant, Lincar Trea	root			
<u>A2- 2 ADF tent of L11</u> Null Hypothesis: 1 Exogenous: Coast Lag Length: 1 (Az	B IR has a unit root ant, Lineer Trend domatic - based on S	IC, maxing 8)	t Statistic	- Proj. 9	-	12- 3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Biogeneux: Cons Lag Longth: 0 (A	<u>M2</u> LM2 has a unit : Innt, Lincer Tree stomatic - based	toot nd on SIC, max	clag=8)	. 9 milio	ia
A2- 2 ADF test of L1 Noll Hypothesis: Exogenous: Coast Lag Lengfh: 1 (Ar	B LIR has a unit root ant, Linear Trend stomatic - based on S	(C, maxing = 8)	t Statistic	e Prob.*	_ _	12-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis Baogenouse Coar Lag Length: 0 (A	<u>M2</u> LM2 hes a unit : Innt, Lincer Tree atomatic - based	toot ad on SIC, max	ting 8)	t Statiat	ic
A2-2 ADF text of L1 Noll Hypothesis: Lag Longft: 1 (At Augmented Dicks Test critical with	B LIR has a unit root and, Linear Trend stantic - based on S y Fuller text statistic r 1% text	IC, maxing B)	t Statistic 4.69718 4.20778	c Prob.* 6 0.0034	-	12- 3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Cons Lag Length: 0 (A Angemented Dick Two arbitist of the	<u>M2</u> LM2 has a unit i liner. There atomatic - based ry Fuller tost sin	toot ad on SIC, man static	cing 8)	t Statisti 2.09022	ie 23
A2-2 ADF tent of L1 Null Hypothesis: 1 Rangeness: Can Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicke Test critical value	B LIR has a welt root ant, Linear Trend tomatic - based on S y Fuller test statistic r: 1% low Sh low	(C, maxing 8)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.55297	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3	= = -	12- 3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous Com Lag Longth: 0 (A Angeneomd Dick Tost critical value	M2 LM2 hes a unit ant, Linear Trea atomatio - based sy Fuller test stat sy Fuller test stat	toot ad on SIC, man tintic 6 lovel	clag 8)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54840	ie 23 79
A2-2 ADF tent of L1 Null Hypothesis: 1 Rangeness: Cana Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicke Test edited value	B LIR has a unit root ant, Linear Trend atomatic - based on S y Paller test atatistic s: 1% leve 5% leve 10% leve 10% leve	IC, maxing: 8)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.55297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3	-	12- 3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Coa Lag Length: 0 (A Angmented Dich Tost critical value	M2 LM2 hee a unit : taat, Linear Tree stomatio - beeed sy Puller toat sha s: 19 35 107	toot ad on SIC, man <u>staris</u> 6 level 6 level 6 level 6 level 6 level	ting 8)	t Statiati 2.09022 4.25287 3.54849 3.20709	ie 23 79 90
<b>A2-2 ADF text of L1</b> Noll Hypothesis: Exogenous: Coast Lag Length: 1 (Ar Augmented Dieler Test critical value "Mackinnon (199	2 LIR has a unit root ant, Lineer Trend stomatio - besed on S y Fuller test statistic s: 1/4 low 3% low 10% lev 60 one sided p. value	IC, maxing = 0) L L L L	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.55297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2	_ = -	12-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Coan Lag Length: 0 (A Angenesisted Dick Test critical value 9MacKinnon (19	M2 LM2 has a unit ; listor, Listor: Tree stormstic - based sy Fuller tost sits s: 19 59 109 46) one edided p +	toot ad lon SIC, man sintic i level i level i level i level i k level i k level valnes.	clag: 8)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54849 -3.20709	ie 23 79 90
A2-2 ADF text of Lill Noll Hypothesis: Exogenous: Coast Lag Length: 1 (Ar Augmented Dicke Test critical value <sup>9</sup> MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicke	2 LR has a unit root ant, Lineer Trend atomatic - based on S y Fuller test statistic s: 1% low 10% low 10% low %) one sided p value y Fuller Test Bousti	IC, maxing: 8) I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.35297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2	_ _ _	12-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Coar Lag Longdi: 0 (A Angemented Dick Test critical value Marckinnon (19 Angemented Pict-	M2 LM2 has a unit ; tant, Linear Trea stomatic - based y Paller tost also s: 19 59 109 40 one aided p - w Paller Test R.	toot ad lon SIC, man static 6 level 6 level 6 level 6 level 8 level 8 level 9 mines. cantion	clag: 8)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54849 3.20709	ie 23 79 90 94
<b>A2-2</b> ADF test of LII Null Hypothesis: 1 Exogeness: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicks Test ceitical value <sup>9</sup> MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicks Dependent Varial	B IR has a welf root actuation Trend actuation - based on S y Fuller test statistic r: 1% love 10% l	IC, mailig: 8) L L L L L L L L L L	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.55297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3	- - -	12-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothese Gen Haugenouse Gen Lag Length: 0 (A Angenosted Dick Test critical value Macklinnon (19 Angenostiv Uschi Depondent Vachi	M2 LM2 her a unit / tant, Linear Tree atomatio - based y Paller teat also at 19 55 109 109 109 cone aided p - sy Paller Teat B de DCLM2)	toot ad lon SIC, max afatic 4 level 4	ciag 8)	t Statisti -2.09022 -4.25287 -3.54849 -3.20709	ie 23 79 90
<b>A2-2 ADF test of L1</b> Noll Hypothesis: Exogenese: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dick Test ceitical value <sup>9</sup> Mackimned (199 Augmented Useitä Dependent Varial Method: Lenst Sq	B IR has a unit root and, Linear Trend tomatio - based on S y Paller test statistic S% love 10% love	(C, maning: 8) I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	t Statistic 4.69718 4.26273 3.35297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2	_ _ _	12-3 ADF test of I Null Rypothesis: Brogeneou: Coan Lag Length: 0 (A Angmented Dick Tost eritical value MatekLinnon (19 Angmented Dick Dependent Value Method Lenst 8;	M2 LM2 hes a unit i hast, Linner Tree stomatio - based by Puller test sha e: 19 109 109 100 cos sided p - 19 100 cos sided p - 19 100 cos sided p - 19 100 cos sided p - 10 100 cos sided p - 10 100 cos sided p - 10 cos sided p -	root ad on SIC, man distic file file file file file file file file	clag 8)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54849 3.20709	ie 23 79 90 94
<u>A2- 2 ADF test of LI</u> Noll Hypothesis: Exogenouse: Coast Lag Length: 1 (Az Augmented Dicke Test critical value *Mecklinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dependent Varial Method: Loss Sg Date: 0405/16 1	LIR has a wait root ant, Linear Trend totenatio - based on S      y Fuller test statistic     y Fuller test statistic     tote statistic     y Fuller Test Housi     for the statistic     p(LIR)     serve     imac 07:59	iC, maxing T) L L L L	t Statisti 4.69719 4.26273 3.55297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2	_ _ _	12-3 ADF test of 1 Null Hypothesis: Baogenous: Ceas Lag Length: 0 (A Angenented Dick Test critical value <sup>9</sup> MackLiance (19 Angenented Dick Dopondent Vachi Method: Leas Bg Date: 040576 5	M2 LM2 has a unit ; itant, Lisser Tree stormatic - beend y Puller toat aim s: 19 39 109 W0 one exided p + yy Puller Toat B size D(LM2) pances Time: 08:00	toot ad on SIC, man static 4 level 5 l	cing 8)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54849 3.20709	ie 23 79 90 94
42-2 ADF text of Lil Noll Hypothesis: Exogeneer: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicke Test critical value *MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dependent Variat Method: Lenst Sg Date: 0405/16 1 Sample (adjunted)	Control Contr	IC, maxing: 8)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.55297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 3 2	_ _ _	12-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Coan Lag Length: 0 (A Angenesisted Dick Test critical value 9Macklinnon (19 Angenesisted Dick Dependent Vacial McGodo Least Sp Date: 0405716 1 Sample (edjusted	M2 LM2 hes a unit i tant, Lioner Tree atomatic - beeck y Fuller test stat s: 19 59 109 40 one aided p - yy Fuller Test B siz: D(LM2) surres face 000 k: 1981 2014	toot ad on SIC, man tinic i level i level i level i level i k level values. quation	cing 8)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.52587 3.54849 3.20709	ie 23 79 90
A2- 2 ADF test of Li Null Hypothesis: Bacogeneus: Cent Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicke Test critical value *MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dependent Varial Médiod: Lens Sg Date: 0405/16 T Sample (adjunte)	LIR has a unit root     ant, Liner Trend     tomatio - based on S     y Paller test statistic     y Paller test statistic     10% love     10% love     10% love     10% love     y Foller Test Equati     de: D(LIR)     merce     Inn: 07:59     1952 2014     ions: 33 after adjusta	IC, maxing 7)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.55297 -3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 3 2	_ _ _	12-3 ADF test of 1 Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Can Lag Length: 0 (A Augmented Dick Tost critical value Macklinnon (19 Augmented Dick Dependent Vachi Method: Least Sp Date: 040576 5 Sample (edjunted Included observa	M2 LM2 has a unit ; hant, Lioner Tree stomatic - beerd y Puller tost stat s: 19 9 9 Puller tost stat s: 19 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 1	root ad alatic sinte si	cing 8)	f Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54849 3.34849	ie 23 79 90
42-2 ADF test of Li Riogeness: Coast Exogeness: Coast Lag Length: 1 (Au Augmented Dicks Test critical value <sup>9</sup> Macklinnon (199 Augmented Dicks Dependent Variab Method: Lenet Sig Dete: 04405/16 1 Sample (adjunted) Included observet Variable	Clift has a wait root and, Linear Trend atomatic - based on S y Paller text statistic is: 1% love S%	(C, maning=8)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.326773 3.325564 3.20964	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 3 2 sintis Prob.	_ _ _ _	12-3 ADF test of I Null Bypothesis: Baogeneer: Coast Lag Length: 0 (A Angenented Dick Test eritical value <sup>9</sup> MacKinnon (19 Angenented Dick Dependent Value Method: Lens 8; Date: 0405/16 Sampie (adjunted Lacladed observa Variable	M2 LM2 has a unit; timut, Linort Tree tormatio - based y Puller tost sin a: 19 55 109 H0 one sided p - 57 109 H0 one sided p - 79 Puller Test B size D(LM2) parent Since 08:00 k: 1951 2014 ione: 34 sitter ad b C	toot ad on SIC, man static i lovel i lovel i lovel joutments pattion	Std Bror	t Statisti 2.09022 3.54449 3.20709	ie 23 79 90 94
42-2 ADF test of LI Noll Hypothesis: Exogenous: Coast Lag Length: 1 (Au Augmented Dicke Test critical value *Macklinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dependent Variah Method: Loset Sg Date: 0405/16 T Sample (adjamed) Include dourows Ustable	Contrasting the second	IC, matching T)	t Statisti 4.69710 4.30273 3.35387 3.329564 c t Situ 4.699	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 3 2 2 issis Prob. 7186 0.000	_ = = = =	12-3 ADF test of 1 Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Cean Lag Length: 0 (A Angenented Dick Tost critical value "Macklinnon (19 Angenented Dick Dopandent Vach Mothod: Leas B, Date: 0405/16 1 Sample (edjusted Included observa Variable LLM2 (1	M2 LM2 has a unit; itant; Lioser Tree stomatic - beed y Puller tost atu s: 19 9 %) one aided p + y Puller Tost B size D(LM2) yance histo B(LM2) yance 1981 2014 ison: 44 after ad b C	toot af af as SIC, and af at level a l	Std Brow	( Statisti 2.09022 4.32387 3.54849 3.20709 1.320709	ic 23 79 90 94
42-2 ADF test of Lill Null Hypothesis: 1 Exogeness: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicke Test ceitical value "MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dicke (199 Augmented Dicke Dicke (199 Augmented Dicke Test ceitical value "MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dicke (199 Augmented Dicke (199 Augmented	B IR has a wift root and Linear Trend atomatic - based on S y Fuller test statistic r: 1% love 10% l	IC, macking: 8)	t Basteri 4.69718 4.20273 3.35597 3.20566 r t Siat 4.697 9.037	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 2 5 3 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	_ _ _ _ _ 1 0	12-3 ADF test of I Null Etypothesis Hougenous Can Lag Length: 0 (A Angenosted Dick Test critical value 9Mack/annon (19 Angenested Dick Mothod: Least Sp Date: 04003/07 Sample (coline Usrible) Lat2(1) C C C	M2 LM2 hes a unit in tationatio - based y Puller test stat s: 19 y Puller test stat s: 19 105 106 106 106 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107	root ad afatio a lavel a lavel	stag 6)	t Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.54449 3.20709 1.53a 2.099 2.1592 2.559	ie 23 79 90 94 10 223 95 95 95 95
42-2 ADF test of Lill Null Hypothesis: 1 Exogeness: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicke Test celitical value "Misokkinnon (199 Augmented Dicke Dependent Variah Method: Losst 50 Date: (MeX516 T Sample (adjunted) Inchoded observat Uariable LiR(-1) D(LiR(1) D(LiR(1) C C	B LR has a well root acoustic - based on S y Fuller test statistic r: 1% love 10%	IC, maxing 7)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.20273 3.35397 3.20966 r t tStat 1.4.697 9.0374 4.690 3.0.840	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 2 2 5 5 3 2 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	_ = = = = 1 1 0 1 1 3	12-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothese Recognoses Can Lag Length: 0 (A Angeneated Dick Test critical value <sup>9</sup> Macklanon (19 <sup>9</sup> Macklanon	M2 LM2 hes a unit. Linear Treat atomatio - based y Puller teat sta st 19 y Puller teat sta st 19 N0 one aided p - y Puller Test Ba- kei D(LM2) parces line: 04:00 k 1981 2014 stater ad b C 980')	toot ad distle lovel lovel kiorel yestion gestion gestion 2,235311 0,23924	elag 6) 941 Error 0.099311 2.42400	t Stadiari 2.09022 4.22387 3.54849 3.20709 1.5920 2.099 2.159 2.069	ie 23 79 90 94 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
42-2 ADF test of Lill Null Hypothesis: 1 Exogeness: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicks Test cetiteal value "Méackinnon (199 Augmented Dicks Test cetiteal value "Méackinnon (199 Augmented Dicks Dopondent Varial Method: Lest Sq Date: 0405/16 T Sample (edjanted) Included obsorvet Uralable LIR(1) D(LIR(1) C ( GTERDD(1) R equared	B LR has a unit root ant, Linear Trend tonatio - based on S y Puller test statistic r: 1% love 10% l	C, maring 8)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.26273 3.35397 3.20966 c 1.81a1 1.4.6979 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.0574 9.05757 9.05757 9.05757 9.05755 9.057557 9.05757 9.05757 9.0	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0.000 1 224 0.338 1 1 1 8 0.000 224 0.338 1 1 1 8 0.000 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		12-3 ADF test of I Null Exponencia: Brogenour Coal Lag Longth O (A Angmonted Dick Tost critical value 9Macklinnon (19) Angmonted Dick Dependent Valid Method Least S; Date: 0405/16 5 Sample (cd)uncer LM2(1 C @TERENC[*] R sequend R sequend	M2 LM2 he a unit. LM2 he a unit. Inst. Linear Tree stants based or Puller test sha set 19 107 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	toot ad sints sievel si sievel sievel sievel sievel sievel sievel sievel	Std. Broo 0.099911 2.404301 0.051318	<ul> <li>t Statisti</li> <li>2.09622</li> <li>4.25287</li> <li>3.34446</li> <li>3.30709</li> <li>1.58a</li> <li>2.099</li> <li>2.19</li> <li>2.04</li> <li>2.19</li> <li>2.04</li> <li>2.49</li> <li>4.50</li> </ul>	ie 23 79 90 94 95 13 95 13 95 18
42-2 ADF test of Lil Null Hypothesis: Exogenese: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Angmented Dicks Test critical value *Macklinnen (199 Angmanted Dicks Dependent Variab Method: Lessi Sig Date: 04405/16 T Sample (adjusted) Include (adjusted) LilR(1) D CLIR(1) C G #TREND(*1) R equared Adjusted R square	Contrasting of the second sec	IC, maxing: 8)	t Statufari 4.69718 4.30273 3.35387 3.20964 c t Stat 1. 4.697 9. 4.604 0.574 9. 4.604 0.574 9. 4.604 0.574 9. 4.604 0.57400000000000000000000000000000000000	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 intic Prob. 7186 0.0000 1224 0.330 118 0.0000 1224 0.3301 118 0.0000 1274 0.4073 0.016221 0.48404	_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _	12-3 ADF test of I Null Eypothesis: Brogenous: Coas Lag Length: 0 (A Angenenicd Dick Test critical value 9MacKinnon (19 Angeneticd Dick Dependent Value 9MacKinnon (19 Angeneticd Dick Dependent Value Method: Lensi 8 Date: 0405716 Sampio (algund Landed observe Variable LM2(1 C GTREND(7) R squared Adjunted R square S & of comparison	M2 LM2 here a unit, timut, Linour Tree tormatio - based by Puller text and as 19 55 109 109 one aided p - by Puller Text Bi size D(LM2) parent Time: 08:00 k 1981 2014 com: 34 after at b C 0 	toot ad minito interio	Stal Error 0.009311 0.009311 0.009311 0.009311 0.009311 0.009311 0.00931 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	t Statisti 2,09022 3,24849 3,20709 1,59 2,159 2,	ie 23 79 90 94 10 223 95 13 55 18
42-2 ADF tent of LI Null Hypothesis: 1 Rangemented Dicks Test celtion Materia and the second Augmented Dicks Test celtion value Materia and the second Materia a	LIR has a unit root ant, Lineer Trend atomatio - based on S  y Fuller test statistic is     System     Sy	IC, matclag: 8)	t Stanisti 4.69711 4.30273 3.35387 3.20564 c t Stan 4.600 9.0574 9.0577 9.0574 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.057777 9.057777 9.057777 9.057777 9.0577777 9.0577777 9.05777777777777777777777777777777777777	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 2 3	_ _ _ _ _ _ _ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2-3 ADF test of I Null Hypothesis: Brogenous: Cean Lag Length: 0 (A Augmented Dick Tost critical value "MacKinnon (19 Augmented Dick Dopandent Vachi Mothod: Least Sp Date: 0405/16 1 Sample (edjusted Lakded observa Variable LAK2(1 C C @TERENC? R segment Sam of regent Sam of regent S	M2 LM2 has a unit ; hant, Lioser Tree stomatic - beend yy Puller toat atta s: 19 99 Puller toat atta s: 19 109 M0 one aided p + yy Puller Toat B size D(LM2) yaacs har: 04:00 h (1981 2014 sour: 34 after ad s: 2 9807) at	toot af af an SIC, men af ant af af af ant af af af ant af af af af af af ant af af a	stage 6) Stal Envor 0.000911 2.40400 SD. dependen Maine information	t Statisti 2.09022 3.54849 3.20709 1.984 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.04 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.09 2.09	ie 23 79 90 94 10 223 95 13 55 18
42-2 ADF test of Lill Null Hypothesis: 1 Exogeness: Coast Lag Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicks Test ceitical value "MacKinnon (199 Augmented Dicks Dependent Varial Method: Loss 58 Date: 0405/16 T Sampie (adjusted) Included observer Uraiable C GTREND(*1 R equared Adjusted R equared Sam spreasion Sam spreasion	B     IR has a wait root     mat, Linear Trend     atomatic - based on S     y Fuller test statistic     r: 176 low     10% low     1	C, maxing: 8)	t Statisti 4.69718 4.36773 3.35597 3.30966 c t Stat 4.697 9.074 3.0966 4.697 9.074 3.0966 4.697 9.074 3.0966 4.697 9.074 3.0966 4.697 9.074 1.697 1.69	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 2 1186 0.000 1224 0.338 118 0.000 1734 0.4607 0.01622 0.4804 0.57840		12-3 ADF test of I Neul Bypothesis Hangmanted Lag Longth: 0 (A Angmanted Dick Tost critical value 9Macklance (19) Magneted Dick Dependent Vacia Method: Last Sp Date: 04093/5 Last2 (J C @TREND(*) R squared Adjusted R. squar S.B. of represent Sam placed nei Last2 (I) R squared nei Last2 (I) R squared nei Last2 (I) R squared nei Last2 (I) R squared nei Last2 (I) Sam placed nei Last2 (I) R squared nei Last2 (I) R squared nei Last2 (I) Sam placed nei Sam squared nei Last2 (I) Sam squared nei Last2	M2 LM2 he s usis: tast, Linour Treat tast, Linour Treat tast, Linour Treat Py Puller tost also served the D(LM2) served tast D(LM2) tast D(LM2) served tast D(LM2) s	toot ad fairlo	ster 6) 944 Error 0.099311 2.424301 0.055118 Mara (space)	e Statisti 2.09022 4.25287 3.34449 3.30709 1.92 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.19 2.1	ie 23 79 90 94 10 223 95 13 35 18
42-2 ADF test of Lil Null Hypothesis : Exogeness: Coast Leg Length: 1 (At Augmented Dicks Test ecitical value "Macklinnon (199 Augmented Dicks Dopendent Varial Method: Lesst Sq Date: G405/16 T Sample (adjunted) Included obsorved Usriable (GTRBADC' C GTRBADC' R equared Adjusted R equare Adjusted R equare Stan equared adjusted R equare	B IR has a unit root terminic - based on S y Paller test statistic y Paller test statistic y Faller test statistic y Faller test statistic (6) one sided p-value y Faller Test Equation (c) One sided p-value y Faller Test Equation (c) One sided p-value (c) One side	(C, maning: 8) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L) (L	t Statisti 4.69718 4.2077 3.35387 3.320964 c t Stat 4.46976 0.0974 0.4080 0.0974 0.4080 0.0974 0.4080 0.0974 0.4080 0.0974 0.4080 0.0974 0.0974 0.0976 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 1186 0.000 1186 0.000 1186 0.000 1186 0.000 1186 0.000 118 0.0000 118 0.0000		12-3 ADF test of I Null Eypothesis: Brogenous: Cons Lag Length: 0 (A Angenented Dich Tost eritical value "Macklinnon (19) Angenented Dich Dependent Yahi Method: Least 8; Date: 0406/1/6 ; Sampio (adjunted Included Observi- Uwrithly LM2(1) C @TERBID(?) R sequent Adjunted R sequent Sam squared reaj Sam squared reaj Sam squared reaj Log likelihood F statistic	M2 LM2 he a unit. tant, Linear Tree tormatio - bears ry Paller text and se 19 10 Ne) one sided p - yy Paller text Bi size D(LM2) parent Size (0:00 ) - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	toot ad con SIC, man dialis i level i	Std. Broot 0.099911 2.24400 0.015118 Sid-wate other Sid-wate other	e Statisti 2.09922 4.25287 3.34849 3.30709 1.584 2.099 2.19 2.044 4. Var é var é var é var é var é var é var	ic 23 79 90 94 94 00223 09513 05518
A2-2 ADF test of L1 Null Hypothesis: 1 Ragmented Dicks Test certified Augmented Dicks Test certified value <sup>9</sup> MackLinnon (199 Angmented Dicks Dopendent Variab Machool Lesst 50 Date: 0405/16 T Sample (adjunted) Included observat Uariable C @(TRENDC1: R equared Adjusted R-equan S.B. of regression Sum spaced regist	LR has a wait root and, Linear Trend and, Linear Trend tomatic - based on S  y Paller text statistic is: 19/s love S here S	IC, maning 8)	t Standard 4.697118 4.32672 3.35597 3.329964 r t Stant 1.4.696 9.0574 9.0577 9.0574 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.05777 9.057777 9.057777 9.057777 9.057777 9.057777 9.0577777 9.05777777 9.05777777777777777777777777777777777777	c Prob.* 6 0.0034 5 3 2 intis Prob. 7186 0.000 7186 0.000 7186 0.000 7186 0.000 7186 0.000 7180 0.0000 7180 0.00000 7180 0.0000 7180 0.0000 7180 0.0000 7180 0.0000 7180 0.00000 7180 0.00000 7180 0.00000 7180 0.000000 7180 0.00000 7180 0.00000 71		2-3 ADF test of I     Null Hypothesis:     Bacogasous: Coas     Lag Length: 0 (A     Angeneented Dick     Test eritical value     MadeKinnon (19     Angeneented Dick     Dependent Yealis     Method: Lenst 8;     Date: 0 dotted     Land 2;     C	M2 LM2 has a unit; i tanat, Liscorr Tree stormatio - beece ry Puller toat sins e: 19 59 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 10	toot ad con SIC, mean ininica con SIC, mean inica con SIC, mean inic	Std. Error 0.009911 2.40400 3.D. dipander Menn depender Finnen Qeiter Finnen Qeiter	t Statisti 2.09022 3.2537 3.54449 3.20709 1.59 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.04 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15 2.15	ie 23 79 90 94 94

#### ADF test of LM2 at First Difference

um squ

Log li

F statistic

Prob(P statistic)

109.7373 Hannan Quinn criter.

9.113103 Durbin-Watson stat

0.000771

Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxing 8)								
			t-Statistic	Prob.*				
Augmented Dickey Full	er test statistic		4.413071	0.0069				
Test critical values:	1% lovel		4.262735					
	5% lovel		-3.552973					
	10% level		-3.209642					
*MacKinnon (1996) one	sided p values.							
Augmented Dickey Full	er Test Equation							
Dependent Variable: D(	LM2,2)							
Method: Least Squares								
•								
Date: 04/05/16 Time: 0	8:01							
Dute: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1982	8:01 2014							
Dute: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1982 Included observations: 3	8:01 2014 3 sfler adjustments							
Date: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1982 Included observations: 3 Variable	8:01 2014 3 after adjustments Coefficient	Std. Broor	t-Statistic	Prob.				
Dute: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1982 Included observations: 3 Variable D(LM2(-1))	8:01 2014 3 after adjustments Coefficient -0.786714	Std. Brror 0.178269	t-Statiatic 4.413071	Prob.				
Dute: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (atjusted): 1982 Included observations: 3 Variable D(LM2(-1)) C	8:01 2014 3 efter edjustments Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858	Std. Brror 0.178269 0.038162	t-Statiatic 4.413071 3.507658	Prob. 0.000 0.001				
Date: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1982 Included observaticas: 3 Variable D(LM2(-1)) C (2)TREND(*1980*)	8:01 2014 3 after adjustments Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858 -0.000547	Std. Broor 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161	t-Statiatic -4.413071 3.507658 -0.471292	Prob. 0.000 0.001 0.640				
Dete: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1962 Included observations: 3 Variable D(LM2(-1)) C @TREND(*1960*) R squared	8:01 2014 3 after adjustments Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858 -0.000547 0.393721	Std. Hmor 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161 Mean dependen	t-Statiatic 4.413071 3.507658 -0.471292	Prob. 0.000 0.001 0.640				
Dete: 04/05/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1962 Included observations: 3 Uariable D(LM2(-1)) C @TREND(*1960*) R squared Adjusted R squared	8:01 2014 3 silter adjustments Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858 -0.000547 0.393721 0.353302	Std. Hrror 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161 Mean dependent S.D. dependent	t-Statiatic -4.413071 3.507658 -0.471292 ft var var	Prob. 0.000 0.001- 0.640 -0.00037 0.07867				
Dete: 0405/16 Time: 0 Sample (adjusted): 1982 Included observations: 3 Uariable D(LM2(-1)) C G(TREND(*1980*) R. squared Adjusted R. squared S.B. of regrassion	8:01 2014 3 after edjustments Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858 -0.000547 0.3939721 0.353302 0.063271	Std. Broor 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161 Mean dependent S.D. dependent Alasike info criti	t-Statiatic -4.413071 3.507658 -0.471292 tt var var var	Prob. 0.000 0.001 0.640 -0.00037 0.07867 -2.59626				
Dett: 0405/16 Time: 0 Sampio (atjusted): 1982 Included observations: 3 Uariable D(LM2(-1)) C @(TREND("1960") R. squared Adjusted R squared Adjusted R squared S.B. of regression Som squared resid	8:01 2014 3 after adjustments Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858 -0.000547 0.393721 0.353302 0.063271 0.120098	Std. Broor 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161 Mean dependent Alasike info crit Schwarz oritorie	t Statistic -4.413071 3.507658 -0.471292 t var var var var var	Prob. 0.000 0.001 0.640 -0.00037 0.07867 -2.59626 -2.46021				
Dete: 4045/16 Time: 0 Sample (arighted): 1942 Included observations: 3 Variable D(LAZ(1)) C @THERD('1960') R. aquared A.S. of regression Sam squared resid Log libriblicod	8:01 2014 2014 Coefficient -0.786714 0.133858 -0.000547 0.333302 0.63271 0.120098 4,533832	Skd. Broor 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161 Mean dependent S.D. dependent Akaiko info criti Schwazz critoris Elsenan-Quina	t.Statistic 4.413071 3.507658 -0.471292 ft var var zeion zn sriter.	Prob. 0.000 0.001 0.640 0.00037 0.07867 2.59626 2.46021 -2.55048				
Dett: G405/16 Time: 0 Bernjo (adjustod): 1962 Included observations 3 Variable D(LM2(-1)) C @TRERD(*1980*) R equared Adjustod R equared Adjustod R equared Adjustod R equared Bons squared resid Log likelihood F f statistico	8:01 2014 3 alber edjustments 0.786714 0.133858 0.000547 0.33302 0.063271 0.120088 45.83832 9.741068	Std. Breer 0.178269 0.038162 0.001161 Mean dependent S.D. dependent Alaiko info crii Schwarz oritorii Hannan-Qeime. Durbin Wetson	t Statiatic 4.4.13071 3.507658 -0.471292 t var var enion m criter. stat	Prob. 0.000 0.001 0.640 0.00037 2.59626 2.46021 -2.55048 1.92564				

Nell Hypothesis: LREER has a unit root Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend Lag Length: 4 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxiag: 8)							
			t-Statistic	Prob.*			
Augmented Dickey Fulle	r test statistic		4.034429	0.0183			
Test critical values:	1% level		4.296729				
	5% level		3.568379				
	10% level		-3.218382				
MacKinnon (1996) one	sided p-values.						
Augmented Dickey Fulle	r Test Equation						
Dependent Variable: D(L	REER)						
Method: Least Squares							
Date: 04/05/16 Time: 08	:04						
Sample (adjusted): 1985 :	2014						
Included observations: 30	sitor adjustments						
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob			
LRBBR(-1)	0.649544	0.161000	4.034429	0.000			
D(LREER(-1))	0.614440	0.176607	3.479126	0.002			
D(LREER(-2))	0.332291	0.163887	2.027557	0.054			
D(LREER(-3))	-0.058535	0.154218	-0.379562	0.707			
D(LREER(-4))	0.501365	0.157571	3,181827	0.004			
с	3.153206	0.786456	4.009385	0.000			
@TREND("1980")	-0.003916	0.001320	2.965902	0.006			
R-squared	0.551160	Mean dependen	t var	-0.00943			
Adjusted R squared	0.434071	S.D. dependent	VIII	0.04515			
S.B. of regression	0.033970	Akaike info crit	erion	-3.72570			
Sum squared resid	0.026541	Schwarz criterie	m	-3.39876			
Log likelihood	62.88560	Hannan Quinn	criter.	-3.62111			
F statistic	4.707200	Durbin Watson	gtat	2.34750			
Purch/IP atastistic)	0.002911						

Prob.

0.0000

0.0000

0.0000

-0.000318

0.013438

6.801707

-6.665661

6.755932

1.670231

0.001791 Schwarz oritorion

115.2282 Heanen Quinn criter.

33.39241 Durbin Watson stat

0.000000

ed resid Sum squa

Log likeliho

P statistic

Prob(F-statistic)

#### A2-3 ADF test of LGDP ADF test of LM2 at First Difference Null Hypothesis: LGDP has a unit root Null Hypothesis: D(LGDP) has a unit root **Exogenous:** Constant, Linear Trend genous: Constant, Linear Trend R Leg Longth: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxing-8) Lag Longth: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxing 8) t-Statistic Prob.\* t Statistic Prob.\* Augmented Dickey Fuller test statistic 0.275369 0.9977 Augmented Dickey Fuller test statistic 8.064099 0.0000 1% level 4.252879 Test critical values: Test critical values: 1% iovel 4.262735 5% level -3.548490 5% level -3.552973 10% level -3.207094 10% lovel -3.209642 MacKinnon (1996) one sided p values. MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values. ned Dickey Puller Test Equation Augus Augmented Dicksy Fuller Test Equation adent Variable: D(LGDP) Depe Dependent Variable: D(LGDP,2) Method: Least Squares Method: Loast Squares Date: 04/05/16 Time: 07:26 Date: 04/05/16 Time: 07:22 Sample (adjusted): 1981 2014 Sample (adjusted): 1982 2014 Included observations: 34 after adjustments Included observations: 33 after adjustments Coefficient Prob. Variable Std. Error t Statistic Variable Coefficient Std. Error t-Statistic LGDP(-1) 0.011758 0.042700 0.275369 0.7849 D(LGDP(1)) 1.112605 0.137970 -8.064099 1.193202 с 0.293531 0.246002 0.8073 С 0.034376 0.005527 6.219149 @TREND("1980") 0.000197 0.002008 0.098307 0.9223 @TREND("1980") 0.001027 0.000175 5.851209 R equared 0.370254 Mean dependent var 0.048135 0.690034 Moan dependent var R-squared Adjusted R squared 0.329625 S.D. dependent var 0.012273 Adjusted R squared 0.669370 S.D. dependent var S.B. of regression 0.010049 Akaike info criterion 6.278663 S.E. of regression 0.007727 Abaike info criterion ed regid 0.003130 Schwarz criterion 6.143984

6.232734

1.881532

## <u>A3-1</u>

#### VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria Endogenous variables: LGDP LINF LIR LM2 LREER Exogenous variables: Date: 04/06/16 Time: 13:23 Sample: 1980 2014 Included observations: 31

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
1	198.9824	NA	9.31e-12	-11.22467	-10.06823*	-10.84770
2	228.9030	40.53756*	7.53e-12	-11.54213	-9.229244	-10.78818
3	264.8672	37.12438	5.12e-12*	-12.24950	-8.780173	-11.11858*
4	294.0534	20.71281	8.50e-12	-12.51958*	-7.893811	-11.01169

\* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)

FPE: Final prediction error

AIC: Akaike information criterion

SC: Schwarz information criterion

HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

#### <u>A3-2</u>

AIC and SC at different lags

	Lag-1	Lag-2	Lag-3	Lag-4
Akaike info criterion	-6.39247	-6.29702	-5.98976	-6.86074
Schwarz criterion	-5.89363	-5.56415	-5.01835	-5.64637

#### References

- 1. Ahmed, S., & Mortaza, M. (2005). Inflation and Economic Growth in Bangladesh: An Empirical Analysis. *Bank Parikrama, 30*.
- 2. Alvarez, F., Robert E. Lucas, J., & Weber, W. E. (2001). Interest Rates and Inflation. *Federal Reserve* Bank of Minneapolis Research Department, Working Paper 609(January 2001).
- 3. Barro, R. J. (1995). Inflation and Economic Growth.*National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series, No. 5326*.doi: 10.3386/w5326
- 4. Boweni, T. T. M. (2000, 17 November 2000).*Economic growth, inflation and monetary policy in South Africa.* Paper presented at the Bureau for Economic Research, Stellenbosch.
- 5. Bruno, M., & Easterly, W. (1998).Inflation crises and long-run growth.*JOURNAL OF Monetary ECONOMICS*, 41(1), 3-26.doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3932(97)00063-9
- 6. De Gregorio, J. (1996). *Inflation, growth, and central banks: theory and evidence* (Vol. 1575): World Bank Publications.
- 7. Dickey, D. A., & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American statistical association*, 74(366a), 427-431
- 8. Engle, R. F., & Granger, C. W. (1987). Co-integration and error correction: representation, estimation, and testing. *Econometrica: journal of the Econometric Society*, 251-276.
- 9. Faria, J. R., & Carneiro, F. G. (2001). Does high inflation affect growth in the long and short run? *Journal of applied economics*, 4(1), 89-105.
- 10. Fischer, S. (1993). The role of macroeconomic factors in growth. JOURNAL OF Monetary

ECONOMICS, 32(3), 485-512.

- 11. Fischer, S., & Modigliani, F. (1978). Towards an understanding of the real effects and costs of inflation. *WeltwirtschaftlichesArchiv*, *114*(4), 810-833.
- 12. Fry, M. J. (1988). *Money, interest, and banking in economic development*: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- 13. Gelb, A. H. (1989). Financial policies, growth, and efficiency (Vol. 202): World Bank Publications.
- Hanif, M. N., & Arby, M. F. (2003). Monetary and Fiscal Policy Coordination. *The Journal of National Institute of Management*, *Pakistan*, (July, 2007), 41-72. http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/10307/
- Hsing, Y. (2005). Analysis of exchange rate fluctuations for Slovakia: application of an extended Mundell–Fleming model. *Applied Financial Economics Letters*, 1(5), 289-292. doi: 10.1080/17446540500220034
- 16. Hussein, E. D., & Dewan, E. (2001). Determinants of Economic Growth. *Economics Department Reserve* Bank of Fiji Working Paper.
- 17. Johansen, S. (1988). Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors. *Journal of economic dynamics and control*, *12*(2), 231-254
- 18. Kuttner, K. N., & Mosser, P. C. (2002). The monetary transmission mechanism: some answers and further questions. *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Economic Policy Review*, 8(1), 15-26.
- Lee, K., Pesaran, M. H., & Smith, R. (1998). Growth Empirics: A Panel Data Approach--A Comment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 319-323
- Li, M. (2005). Inflation and Economic Growth: Threshold Effects and Transmission Mechanisms. Retrieved from Canadian Economics Association website: http://economics.ca/2006/papers/0176.pdf
- Lucas, J. R. E. (1973). Some International Evidence on Output-Inflation Tradeoffs. *American Economic Review*, Vol. 63(No. 8), 326-334.
- 22. Maghyereh, A. I., &Omet, G. S. (2002). External debt and economic growth in Jordan: the threshold effect. *Available at SSRN 317541*.
- Malla, S. (1997). Inflation and Economic Growth: Evidence from a Growth Equation. Department of Economics, University of Hawaiâ™ I at Monoa, Honoulu, USA.
- 24. Mallik, G., &Chowdhury, A. (2001). Inflation and economic growth: evidence from four south Asian countries. *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*, 8(1), 123-135.
- Mundell, R. A. (1965). Growth, Stability, and Inflationary Finance. *Journal of Political Economy*, 73(2), 97-109.doi: 10.2307/1829526
- Pesaran, H. H., & Shin, Y. (1998). Generalized impulse response analysis in linear multivariate models. Economics letters, 58(1), 17-29.
- 27. Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. Journal of applied econometrics, 16(3), 289-326.
- Phillips, P. C., & Hansen, B. E. (1990). Statistical inference in instrumental variables regression with I (1) processes. The Review of Economic Studies, 57(1), 99-125
- 29. Qayyum, A. (2006). Money, inflation, and growth in Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 203-212.
- 30. Taylor, M. P. (1995). The economics of exchange rates. Journal of Economic literature, 13-47.
- 31. Tobin, J. (1965). Money and Economic Growth. Econometrica, 33(4), 671-684.doi: 10.2307/1910352
- 32. World, B. (1993). *The East Asian Miracle: Economic Growth and Public Policy*: Oxford University Press.